

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MIDLAND/ODESSA DIVISION**

VIRTAMOVE, CORP.,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC.; AMAZON.COM
SERVICES LLC; AND AMAZON WEB
SERVICES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 7:24-CV-00030-ADA-DTG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**DECLARATION OF QI TONG IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF VIRTAMOVE,
CORP.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTIONS TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE
GILLILAND'S TRANSFER ORDER**

I, Qi (Peter) Tong, declare and state as follows:

1. I am a member of the State Bar of Texas and an attorney at the firm of Russ August & Kabat, counsel of record for Plaintiff VirtaMove, Corp. in the above-captioned action. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff's Partial Motion to Dismiss. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called upon to testify, could and would testify competently thereto.
2. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of 9 Charles Alan Wright & Arthur R. Miller, Federal Practice & Procedure § 2363 (4d ed. 2014) that I downloaded from Westlaw on February 19, 2025.
3. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of this Court's Order Governing Proceedings (OGP) 4.4.
4. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of *Proxense LLC v. Apple Inc.*, No. 6:24-cv-00143-ADA, Dkt. 60 (W.D. Tex. Jan 8, 2025).
5. Attached as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the article *Fifth Circuit Clarifies Standard for Venue Transfer: What it Means for Texas Patent Litigants* as retrieved from the website <https://www.womblebonddickinson.com/us/insights/alerts/fifth-circuit-clarifies-standard-venue-transfer-what-it-means-texas-patent> on February 19, 2025.
6. Attached as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of the article *Federal Circuit Law Sidesteps Fifth Circuit Ruling on Convenience Transfers* as retrieved from the website <https://insight.rpxcorp.com/news/73826-federal-circuit-sidesteps-fifth-circuit-ruling-on-convenience-transfers> on February 19, 2025.
7. Attached as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of *Unstoppable Force Meets (Previously) Immovable Object: The Conflict Between Federal Circuit Mandamus and Trial-Court Deference in Patent Litigation*, 74 Baylor L. Rev. 783, 793 (2022).

8. Attached as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of the docket report for *Corephotonics, Ltd. v. Apple Inc.*, No. 3:17-cv-06457 (N.D. Cal) as retrieved from Docket Navigator on February 19, 2025.

I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 19, 2025 in Dallas, Texas.

/s/ Qi (Peter) Tong
Qi (Peter) Tong
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
8080 N. Central Expy., Suite 1503
Dallas, TX 75204
Telephone: (310) 826-7474